App.F.IX-4]

1924.

File No. 55-24

Previous References. (Courts)

Serial Nos. 2 – 11

Negs 26-09(1,3-11)

Later references.

No 183-25(1-4)
" 252-25-1
" 140-26(3-4)
" 207-26(5-6) Ck. 250-53-(1,2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 9

Part B for December 1925

SUBJECT

Extention of civil jurisdiction of Village court at Sravanabelagola

---

Note. Nop. 27559 1-12-25 To be compiled

Notifies the above

M.G. Iyengar
5/1/26

F. R. S. 11]

1924-5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(65)

NOTES.

File No. 55 24

FILE HEADING.

Village courts Harispattu Dt.

From

The Revenue Commr.

C No. 1849 Dated 11.12.24. Received 12/12/24

Diary No. 2569	Enclos. 1 fol. Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards the letter of the Dy Comr Harispattu Dt recommending that the jurisdiction of the Kawanabelagola be extended over adjoining 22 villages and the pecuniary jurisdiction be enhanced to Rs 100/-.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Revenue Commr's recommendation is not clear. He has simply forwarded the letter of the Dy Comr with the word "recommended". According to para 4 of the general circulars and standing orders, Communications to Govt should be addressed by letter

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 55 of 1924-25

25 b/y

Serial No.

and not by docket.

(2) ~~Accor~~ The pecuniary jurisdiction of a village court is limited to Rs 20/- and with the written consent of parties, it is upto Rs 200/-. It is not known whether it is now proposed to raise the original jurisdiction of Rs 20/- to Rs 100/- or so. Legislation is necessary. In view of the fact that the village courts are not working satisfactorily it is not known whether it is advisable to raise its pecuniary jurisdiction thus burning to civil courts from taking cognizance of those suits. I do with to adopt calling for the views of the Revenue Court.

This seems to be the intention

12/12/24

(3) Kind attention is invited to Rule 40 of ~~the~~ Vol I of General Circulars & Standing orders (page 11) under which the Rev Commr should send a letter & not a memo as has been done. This rule has recently been restated in more than one instance. So this may returned for ~~resubmission~~ resubmission in the proper form, with the opinion of the Rev Commr also which is not sent.

Leg 26 19/12

Under Secy

Deputy Secy

1st Member

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

	Serial No.
To the Rev. Commr. in mysore No P. 3375 dt 8. 1-25. With ref. to dl 3 observes that it is not clear whether the recommendation is for raising the original jurisdiction of village cts Sravana belagola the Court to Rs 100 from Rs 20, Though under Sec 12 it is open to parties to have their cases up to Rs 200 decided by the Court with their written Consent & if so requests to furnish his opinion as to whether it is advisable to amend the Sec in view of the fact that many village Courts are not working properly.	4
The Revenue Court in mysore: Letter no C 1849 dt 20-1-25 requests the return of the dy Comrs letter dt 9-12-24 as original to this office. Send with a draft 23/11/25	5
To the Rev. Commr. P 3960 dt 27-1-26 With ref. to dl 5 returns the letter of the Dy Commr. dt and requests that it may be returned with his reply.	
The Revenue Court Letter no C 1849 dt 6-2-26 States that the village courts Regn may be amended to take power to raise the pecuniary jurisdiction of village Courts to Rs 100/- whenever	7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

necessary

9) The Revenue Court says that where Courts which work satisfactorily may be given larger powers, this it will improve village life. The proposals to raise the jurisdiction of Village Courts will involve loss of revenue to Govt in the shape of stamps and there is not actual loss cannot be calculated for want of Statistics

Submitted for orders

10) As regards the loss of Stamp revenue it has to be considered that the village munsiffs are not paid for their work. The proposal may perhaps be approved in consultation with the Chief Court if necessary

11) Put up the file relating to village Courts, Regn of Suits and the reasons for issuing the instructions to be seen

24/2

12) Mr Knapp's file 2-G-107 O.G. relating to the Panchayat Village Civil Regulation is submitted. There is no discussion in the file about the pecuniary jurisdiction of the village Courts

65 5/3/25 13) The Regulation is based on the Madras Village [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

5 3440

G.R.S. III-21

File No. 55 of 19 24-25 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Please find out the pecuniary jurisdiction of the V. Munsiff Court in the Madras Presidency area as it was enhanced subsequent to the passing of the Act

9/3

Sub

The pecuniary jurisdiction was enhanced from "Rupees Twenty" to "Rupees fifty" vide Madras Act II of 1920

By
14/3/25

a) There is a representation of the same kind to be discussed at the R.A Session in June.

b) Under the existing Regulation parties may by consent empower the Village Munsiff to try cases upto Rs 200/-

c) In Madras, the jurisdiction has been raised to Rs 100/- by an amending Act II of 1920. Under that Act, the Benches were abolished and Panchayathi Courts were created.

In view of the fact that a Village Panchayathi Bill is under consideration, the question of raising the jurisdiction of the Village Munsiffs Courts may

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

APP. F. III—2]

3440 6

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CS File No. 55 of 19 24-25

Serial No.

The Bill considered. Then the V. Nagar Panchayati Bill is considered. Besides, the views of the Assembly may also be gathered before any steps is taken.

(Thakur)
1st May

28/9/25
R 11/5
B 12.5

29/12.5

18) Under the Village Courts Regn through the ordinary jurisdiction of Village courts constituted by Govt, the Courts can empower them to hear & determine suits up to the value of Rs 200/- with the consent of both the parties. I do not consider it necessary to amend the Regn & Entrust the adjudication of such cases to the Village courts.

19) With regard to the proposal to entrust the jurisdiction of the Travancore Village courts to the 22 Village mentioned in Annexure B of the letter of 5/12/25 information may be obtained on the following points

(1) The no of Suits filed & disposed of in the Travancore Village courts annually. Some of the cases may be transferred

(2) Who are the persons eligible to be appointed as Village Munsiffs & Village courts

(3) Whether the Jurisdiction of each of the Village mentioned in the Annexure B

(4) Whether the Taluk contribution of these Village can be utilised to place them under the jurisdiction of the Subordinate Village Courts

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

·344·

B.424WD.0531

CS File No. 55 of 19 24-25

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

Sent with a draft

P.S. 29/5/25

Sd) Illegible 28/5

To - The Revenue Commissioner.

P 6635 dated 29/5/25.

8.

With reference to letter in serial 7, ~~the Deputy Commissioner's letter and Cash~~ ~~Credit are sent for reference and return with his reply~~. Calls for information on the points referred to above:

Cash 30/5

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/ File No. 55 of 1924-25

Serial No 9

From

The Revenue Com^r. Letter No C 1845/6-11-25

Inwards acknowledging letter dt 30-10-25 from the Dy Com^r Hassan re furnishing the information called for -

22) Flag A is the statement showing the number of suits filed disposed of and pending ever since the Village Court was established at Sravanabelagola.

The present V. M is one Sri. D. Padmaiah a Sowcar of the place.

In Flag B, the distances of the various villages is noted. Only one village is 5 miles distant and another is 3 miles. The rest, (20 villages) are 2½ miles or less distant from Sravanabelagola.

It is reported that the Patels and inhabitants of these villages are willing to place themselves under the jurisdiction of the Village court at Sravanabelagola.

Flag B

Draft with reference to para 19 above, is also sent for orders. 23) Last year, out of 26 cases only 14 have been disposed of, though previously large disposals are also to be noticed.

Flag A.

9/11/25

9/11

The addition of several villages will increase work this not possible today by the Munsiff will be able to cope with it. As there is a reconstitution it may perhaps be presumed that he is equal to the task. Draft Sanctioning is submitted.

24) Reference - para 19.

(Ist Member)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet 237 10.11

Cas... 9-11

Serial No

25) I do not consider it desirable to extend the jurisdiction of the village in this way because of several practical difficulties.

26) For the present, the Sravanabelagola Court's jurisdiction may be extended only over the 7 villages (named by me in red pencil on the map) the whose are less than 2 miles from it.

11

27) A draft order etc. also revised draft will be put up accordingly for approval.

15/11

11/11

8/11/11

11/11

28) Add note 2 drafts

29) It will be seen from the report flagged B. that the village of Jinnattiapura (no. 22 in the list) is only 3/4 mile distant from Sravanabelagola. This may perhaps also be included in the draft. — yes.

12/4/25

12/11

30) I have included the village in the draft.

Ltk Secy

12/11

12/11

8/11

14.11

14.11

328

14/11

) I have no remarks on the draft

11/11

8/11

16/11

The village Sravanabelagola is mentioned in the body of the notification itself. It does not appear to be necessary to mention it again in the margin. The other notifications flagged

(Done 18th Branch) Draft of the notification

23/11

8/11 & 18/11

V

449

19.11

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No	
10	Tour Revenue Court. " Dy Coms Hassan. " Regr Civil Court. Gonop. 2758-60 dt 1-12-28. Sanctions the exhention of the jurisdiction of the village court at Sravana belagula
11	Tour compiler Note Nop. 2755 dt 1-12-28 Notifies the above.

B
10/12

C1849/24

DEC 6 1924

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

From

R. Nanasamy Rao, Esquire,,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in
Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 5th December 1924.

Despatched

Received

General No.
No. C. 868-24.

Enclosure No. Duplicate

Name of village.	Population.
1. Uttenahalli.	244.
2. Kabbalu.	398.
3. Sugganahalli.	138.
4. Kottanaghatta.	382.
5. Bommanahalli.	117.
6. Aruvanahalli.	325.
7. Kantarajapura.	578.
8. Koranahalli.	254.
9. Hosahalli.	435.
10. Sanehalli.	322.
11. Halumatighatta.	109.
12. Bukanahalli.	115.
13. Cholanahalli.	349.
14. Honnanahalli.	348.
15. Parama.	95.
16. Sivapura.	127.
17. Kumbanahalli.	497.
18. Sundahalli.	480.
19. Bekka.	402.
20. Kachanahalli.	171.
21. Bastihalli.	132.
22. Jinnathpura.	221.
T o t a l	6239.

S i r,

In forwarding herewith report No. 85 & the 20th October 1924 from the Amildar, Chennrayapatna Taluk forwarding the petition dated 10th October 1924 from the villagers of Sravanbelogola and the surrounding villages praying that the powers and the jurisdiction of the Village Munsiff's Court at Sravanabelogola, Chennrayapatna Taluk, may be extended, I have the honor to state that the establishment of the Village Munsiff's Court was sanctioned in G.O No.J.3283/Cts. 11-13-29 dated the 29th March 1915 and the Court has been doing good work ever since its establishment. The villagers pray that as considerable progress in the Brass Industry is being made at the place, which is rising in commercial importance, a large number of outside people have settled here resulting in an increase litigation, and as they have very often to go to the Holenarsipur ~~Munsiff's Court~~ for filing suits in the Munsiff's Court, situated at

P T O

2569
P

at a distance of 22 miles from Sravanabelagola, they request that the Village Munsiff's Court at Sravanabelagola may be empowered to take cognisance of all suits where the claim does not exceed ₹100/-. They further request that the local jurisdiction of the Court may be extended over the marginally noted 22 villages, which are situated within a radius of 3 miles of Sravanabelagola, and whose population is 6239.

2. In these circumstances I am of opinion that the prayer of the petitioners for enhancing the value of the suits in and the jurisdiction of the Village Munsiff's Court at Sravanabelagola, is reasonable and I request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

503

B 1849 23-24 Memo. dt 11-12-24

Forwarded with Compliments to the Secretary to the Government, Local and Legislative Departments and recommended.

Revenue Commissioner

10/12.

G. R. S. VI–G-32] B50—WD 0527

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section C6

File No. 55–24

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

No. 0 3375 Encl

Date of issue 8/1/25

To The Revenue Court

Signed by

Sir In returning herewith the file papers received with your endorsement no C 18 69/24 of 11.12.24 re the enhancement of territorial and pecuniary jurisdiction of the Village Munsiffs Court at Sravana Belagola, Channarayapatna Taluk, I am directed to invite reference to rule 49 Page 11 of the General Circulars and Standing orders directing that communications to Courts should be addressed by letter and not by docket // From the communication made by the Collector, it is not clear whether

over

Item as follows:

This has been modified vide Memo. dated 8-1-25 in G.O. No. 1 dated 8-1-25 Revenue Department

Whether the recommendation is
for raising the original jurisdiction
pecuniary limit to Rs 100/- from ~~Rs 20/-~~ Rs 20/-, though
since
as under section 12 of the Regulation
it is open to parties to have their
cases up to Rs 200/- decided by the
village court with their written consent.
Or the recommendation is
~~the recommendation~~ that the original
pecuniary jurisdiction of the Court
cases tried ~~in~~ may be raised to Rs 100/-
I am to request you to kindly furnish
Government as to
~~the~~ ~~the~~ ~~the~~ wishes it is advisable
to amend the Regulation, in view of the
fact ~~that~~ that many village courts in the State
are not working properly. An
early reply is requested

Yours

Sd/-
17/11/41
Asst. Secy.

31421 No 55-24-5 5 3196

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE.

C. No 1849/24-25 *Bangalore*,....15th January 1925.....
20

FROM III

K. CHANDY ESQ., B.A.
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

LEGISLATIVE SECRETARIAT
LOCAL AND
RECEIVED
22 JAN 1925
PROTECTION BRANCH
Br.....
To
SECTION

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.3375/Cts.55-24-4 dated 8th January 1925 returning the file of papers forwarded with my endorsement No.C.1849/24-25 dated 11th December 1924 regarding the enhancement of the territorial and pecuniary jurisdiction of the Village Munsiff's Court at Sravanabelagola, Chennarayapatna Taluk, with certain observations, I have the honour to request you to be so good as to return the Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 6th December 1924, which was forwarded to you in original.

2. I request the favour of early compliance.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 27/1/25

Signed by

Section C5

File No. 55—24

Serial No. 6

No. 1396-71

To

The Revenue Asst in Mysore

Sir

As requested in your letter no: C 1849 dt 20.1.25. I am directed to forward herewith the Deputy Comrs letter no 868 dt 6.12.24 re the encroachments of territorial and pecuniary jurisdiction of the Village munsiff's court at Sravanabelgola, Channarayapatna Taluk. The letter may kindly be returned with the reply.

I have etc

over.

27/1

23/1/25

33790 LEGISLATIVE SECRETARIAT III 9 FEB 1925 LOCAL AND PROTECTION BRANCH

Cts 55-24-7 34407

No. C/849/24-25-
En 1 file

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.
Bangalore, 3rd February *192* 5.

FROM

K. Chandy Esq., B.A.,
REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. 7 FEB. 1925 G. D. SECTION.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
Local and Legislative DEPARTMENT.S.

SIR,

Referring to correspondence ending with your letter No. P.3375/Cts.55-24-4 dated 8-1-1925 requesting me to furnish my opinion as to whether it is advisable to amend Section 11 of the Mysore Village Courts Regulation of 1913, I have the honor to state that Village Courts that work satisfactorily may be given larger powers although other Courts are unsatisfactory, as that seems to be the only method of improving village life, and hence, I am of opinion that the Village Courts Regulation may be amended, so as to provide that with the previous sanction of Government, the power of taking cognizance by specified Courts might be raised to Rs 100/-; while the ordinary jurisdiction of Courts might remain at the present level of 20/-.

2. The enclosures to your letter are returned herewith for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Revenue Commissioner.

M.B.R.

App.F.VI-G1.]

4

SUBJECT .....

Section C15

File No. 55-24

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Seria No. 8

No. P 6435

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

To The Revenue Court.

Sir

Date of issue 29/5/25

Signed by .....

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. C 1849 of 6.2.1925, re the proposal to extend the jurisdiction of the Village Court at Sravanakelagola to the 22 villages mentioned in the Hassan Dy Court's letter of 5.12.24, I am directed to request you to kindly furnish information on the following points:—

1) The number of suits filed, and disposed of in the Sravanakelagola

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

Court annually since ...
this Court was constituted.

2) Who the present Village
Mensiff of the Court is.

3) The distance from Sravanabelagola
of each of the villages mentioned
in the Dy Com's letter.

4) Whether the Patel and
~~the~~ inhabitants of these villages
are willing to place themselves
under the jurisdiction of the
Sravanabelagola Village Court.

5) The Dy Com's letter and its
enclosures are sent herewith
for reference and return with
his reply.

Yours etc

28/5

for L. Lobo

19255

1952
P 928

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

C. No. 1849/24-25 file

Bangalore, 4th Novbr. 1925.

6
No 55-24-9

FROM

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER ESQ., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
Local & Legislative ~~REVENUE~~ DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.6635/cts.55-24-8 dated 29th May 1925 calling for information regarding the extension of the jurisdiction of the Sravanabelagola Village Munsiff's Court I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No.C.C. 868-24 dated 30th October 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District furnishing the required information.

The records received with his letter are herewith forwarded for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Revenue Commissioner.

TKN

Letter No.C.C.868-24 dated 30th October 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Subject:- Extension of the Jurisdiction of the village Munsiff's Court at Sravanabelagola in the Chennarayapatna Taluk.

With reference to your memo No.C.1849/24-25 dated the 5th June 1925 calling for some particulars re the above, I have the honour to state as follows:-

1. A statement showing the number of suits filed and disposed of in this court ever since its inception is enclosed in records.(F.A.)

2. The present Munsiff of the Court is Mr. G. D. Padmiah, a sowcar of the place.

3. The distance of the court from each of the 22 villages proposed for being brought under its jurisdiction is noted against the names of the villages entered in the Munsiff's report dated 26th August 1925 to the Amildar. (Flag B).

4. The Amildar states in his report No.59 dated 22nd October 1925 that during his tour to these parts, the Patels and inhabitants of the 22 villages expressed their willingness to place themselves under the jurisdiction of the above court.

The vernacular file ending with the Amildar's report No.59 dated 22nd October 1925 is herewith submitted for kind perusal.

" C O P Y "

For Revenue Commissioner.

TKN

12

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

Date of issue 1/12/25

Signed by.....

1. Uttenahalli (2) Kattehalli (3) Suggunahalli
4) Bommanahalli (5) Kottavagilu (6) Hirenahalli
7) Kantharajpura (8) Koravanahalli (9) Hosahalli
10) Sankhalli (11) Halemaligehalli (12) Bukkanahalli
13) Gherahalli (14) Honnavanahalli (15) Pasana
16) Swapura (17) Kumbanahalli (18) Sundanahalli
19) Belleka (20) Rachanahalli (21) Beskihalli
22) Siripathipura

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section 1 P

File No. 55-24

Serial No. 10

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

1. Govt notn no: J 3283/C5 11-13-1924 29-3-1925 establishing a village court at Sravanabelagola, Bheimaraypatna Taluk.

2. Memo no: C 1849/ 11-12-1924 from the Revenue Court forwarding the letter of the Dy Comr Hassan dt 5-12-1924 requesting that the territorial jurisdiction of the Village court at Sravanabelagola maybe extended over ~~the marginally noted 22 villages~~ 22 Shripathipura mentioned therein and that ~~Order Thereon No.~~ the pecuniary jurisdiction of the court maybe enhanced to Rs 100/-

3. Correspondence ending with letter no C 1849/ 6-11-25 from the Revenue Court forwarding a copy of letter no C 868-24/ 30-10-25 from the Dy Comr Hassan, furnishing statistics of suits filed and disposed of in the Village court at Sravanabelagola and the distance of each of the villages proposed to be brought under the jurisdiction

20/11

of the Village Court ~~[illegible]~~
and Retaining that the ~~villages have~~ patels and inhabitants of these
~~[illegible]~~ villages have expressed
their willingness to have themselves
under the jurisdiction of the Sravanabelagola
Court.

order. G 2758-60

Govt do not consider it desirable
to ~~considerably~~ uniformly enhance the jurisdiction
of the Sravanabelagola Village Court-
~~as that will lead to several practical~~
~~difficulties~~ accordingly, direct that for the
present the jurisdiction of the Court
may be extended over the marginally
noted 8 villages which are ~~two~~ three
~~Be~~ miles, distant from ~~the Village Court~~ Sravanabelagola. A
~~separate~~ notification to this effect will issue separately.

2) As regards the enhancing of the
pecuniary jurisdiction of the Village
Court to Rs 100/- Govt observe
that though the ordinary jurisdiction
of these Village courts is limited to
Rs 20/-, ~~cases between parties~~ yet with the consent
of the parties, suits up to the value of Rs 200/- can
~~empowered to hear and determine~~
be entertained by them, and as such
~~suits upto the value of Rs 100/-~~
~~have~~ they do not consider any amendment of
~~are~~ necessary to ~~command~~ the Village Courts Regulation ~~and~~
~~enhance the ordinary jurisdiction~~
~~of these village Courts~~

1. Uttanahalli (6) Bekka
2. Kabbalu (7) Bastihalli
3. Hosahalli (8) Jinnathapura
4. Sanehalli
5. Kachenahalli

List of enclosures.

Govt Enhancement of
Copied by pecuniary jurisdiction
Examined by of these courts.

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21

Case Library
1911

To
Mr Secretary Govt.
Deputy Commissioner Hassan
with enquiry whether any of
the villages mentioned under
Rule 1 (1) (a) of the Rules
published with G.O. No. 2758-60
Judl. dated 24/11/11 are
within 5 miles of the Village
Court of Sravanabelagola.
Bangalore 27/11/11

1/c.

App.F.VI-G1.] B650

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section C

File No. 57-24

Serial No. 11

No. of Notification

To 2753

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 1/12/25

Signed by M B N.

1- Uttenahalli
2- Kabbalu
3- Hosahalli
4- Sanehalli
5- Bekka
6- Rachanahalli
7- Bastihalli
8- Jinnathapura.
9- Sravanabelagola

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

under section 3 (2) of the Mysore Village Courts Regulation, 1913, the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja are pleased to group the marginally noted villages in Hassan Nagarapatna Taluk, with effect from 1st January 1926 with the village of Sravanabelagola in the same Taluk and to direct, in modification of this no. of 3 2 P 3/CS-110-13-27 of 29-3-1915 that the Village Court at Sravanabelagola shall have and exercise jurisdiction over all the villages so grouped

B. O

20

1911 — do — 29

20 Secretariat orig for publication

G.T.D.

memo P 2756 Encl

Copy of the above is forwarded with Compliments to Rec. with reference to the correspondence ending with his letter no C 1849 dy 6. 11. 25. The Vernacular records are herewith returned

B. O

Dr. L Secy

memo P 2757

Copy to Dy Comr Varanasi for information

B. O

Dr. Secy

memo P 2758

Copy to Regr. Chief Court under ack

12/11/25